

MANIPAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A Constituent Institution of Manipal University

V SEMESTER B.TECH. (MECHATRONICS ENGINEERING) END SEMESTER EXAMINATIONS, NOV 2019

SUBJECT: DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS [MTE 4011]

(27/11/2019)

Time: 3 Hours

MAX. MARKS: 50

Instructions to Candidates:

Answer ALL questions.

Data not provided may be suitably assumed

						Marks	CO
1A.	Cite an example of how specific clustering methods may be integrated, i.e., when one clustering algorithm is used as a pre-preprocessing step for another. In addition provide reasoning on why the integration of two methods may lead to improved clustering quality and efficiency.						CO4
1 B .	Association rule mining often generates a large number of rules. Discuss one effective method that can be used to reduce the number of rules generated while still preserving most of the interesting rules.						CO5
1C.	Suppose that frequent item sets are saved for a large transaction database, <i>DB</i> . Discuss how to efficiently mine the (global) association rules under the same minimum support threshold if a set of new transactions, denoted as ΔDB , is (incrementally) added in.						CO5
2A.	Construct a decision-tree classifier with binary splits at each node, using tuples in relation r (A , B , C) shown below as training data; attribute C denotes the class. Show the final tree, and with each node show the best split for each attribute along with its information gain value. (1, 2, a), (2, 1, a), (2, 5, b), (3, 3, b), (3, 6, b), (4, 5, b), (5, 5, c), (6, 3, b), (6, 7, c)					3	CO5
2B.	The following Table 2B an example of customer purchase transaction data set. Calculate the support and confidence of the following association rule. Infer whether the items in the association rule are independent of each other or have negative or positive impacts on each other. $\{10\} \rightarrow \{50,70\}$						CO5
	Table 2B						
		CID	TID	Date	Items Purchased		
		1	1	01/01/2001	10, 20		
		1	2	01/02/2001	10, 30, 50, 70		
		1	3	01/03/2001	10, 20, 30, 40		

		2		4	01/03/2001		20, 30		
		2		5	01/04/2001	20	0, 40, 70		
		3		6	01/04/2001	10,	30, 60, 70		
	-	3		7	01/05/2001	10	0, 50, 70		
	-	4		8	01/05/2001	10	0, 20, 30		
	-	4		9	01/06/2001	20	0, 40, 60		
	-	5		10	01/11/2001	10,	20, 30, 60		
	Note: C								
2C.	Let the	Let the following relational schemas be given:							
	R = (A, B, C); S = (D, E, F)								
	calculu	s that is equivale	nt to each	n of the follow	ving:		uple relational		
	a) $\Pi_A(n)$	r) (m)							
	c) $\Pi_{A,F}$	$(\sigma_{C=D}(r \times s))$							
3A.	Consid	er the relational s	schema o	f Figure 3A.				6	CO2
		en	nployee (pe	erson_name, stre	eet, city)				
		<i>w</i>	orks (perso mpany (co	m_name, compa mpany_name, c	ny_name, sau ity)	iry)			
		m	anages (pe	rson_name, mai	uager_name)				
				Figure 3A	L				
	Develop an expression in the relational algebra to express the following query;								
	a) List the names of all employees who do not work for "First Bank Corporation".b) List the names of all employees managed by Mark Zuckerberg.								
3B.	Consider following Table 3B.							4	CO1
				Table 3B	1		1		
	ID 22222	name D Einstein	salary	aept_name	building	budget	1		
	1212	1 Wu	90000	Finance	Painter	120000			
	3234	3 El Said	60000	History	Painter	50000			
	4556	5 Katz	75000	Comp. Sci.	Taylor	100000			
	9834	5 Kim	72000	Elec. Eng.	laylor	85000			
	1010	1 Srinivasan	65000	Comp. Sci.	Taylor	100000			
		A CHINEFERONIC	(2000	History	Painter	50000			
	5858	3 Califieri	62000	********					
	5858 8382	3 Califieri 1 Brandt	92000	Comp. Sci.	Taylor	100000			
	5858 8382 1515	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart	92000 40000	Comp. Sci. Music	Taylor Packard	100000 80000			
	5858 8382 1515 3345	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart 66 Gold	92000 40000 87000	Comp. Sci. Music Physics	Taylor Packard Watson Painter	100000 80000 70000			
	5858 8382 1515 3345 7654	 Califieri Brandt Mozart Gold Singh 	92000 92000 40000 87000 80000	Comp. Sci. Music Physics Finance	Taylor Packard Watson Painter	100000 80000 70000 120000			
	5858 8382 1515 3345 7654 Explain	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart 6 Gold 3 Singh 1 two	82000 92000 40000 87000 80000 caused	Comp. Sci. Music Physics Finance by the desi	Taylor Packard Watson Painter gn given ir	100000 80000 70000 120000 1 the tab	le. Suggest a		
44	5858 8382 1515 3345 7654 Explair normal	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart 6 Gold 3 Singh	62000 92000 40000 87000 80000 caused to resolv	Comp. Sci. Music Physics Finance by the designed the anomal	Taylor Packard Watson Painter gn given ir y in the table	100000 80000 70000 120000 n the tab	le. Suggest a	3	C03
4A.	5858 8382 1515 3345 7654 Explair normal Explair	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart 6 Gold 3 Singh n two problems ization technique the distinctions	caused caused among th	Comp. Sci. Music Physics Finance by the designed the anomal the terms prima	Taylor Packard Watson Painter gn given ir y in the table try key, cand	100000 80000 70000 120000 n the tab e. lidate key	le. Suggest a and super key.	3	C03
4A. 4B.	5858 8382 1515 3345 7654 Explair normal Explair Design	3 Califieri 1 Brandt 1 Mozart 6 Gold 3 Singh a technique a database for a database for	62000 92000 40000 87000 80000 caused to resolv among th an airlin	Comp. Sci. Music Physics Finance by the designer the anomal the terms primation the company.	Taylor Packard Watson Painter gn given ir y in the table try key, cand The databa	100000 80000 70000 120000 h the tab e. lidate key use must	le. Suggest a and super key. keep track of	3 7	CO3 CO3

	include an E-R diagram, a set of relational schemas, and a list of constraints,					
	including primary-key and foreign-key constraints.					
5A.	Compute the closure of the following set of functional dependencies for the	3	CO3			
	relational schema $r(A, B, C, D, E)$.					
	$A \rightarrow BC$					
	$CD \rightarrow E$					
	$B \rightarrow D$					
	$E \rightarrow A$					
	List the candidate keys for R.					
5 B .	The definition of a schedule assumes that operations can be totally ordered by time.	3	CO3			
	Consider a database system that runs on a system with multiple processors, where					
	it is not always possible to establish an exact ordering between operations that					
	executed on different processors. However, operations on a data item can be totally					
	ordered.					
	Does the above situation cause any problem for the definition of conflict					
	serializability? Explain your answer.					
5C.	Consider the bank database of Figure 5C where the primary keys are underlined and	4	CO3			
	the following query holds.					
	branch(<u>branch_name</u> , branch_city, assets)					
	customer (customer_name, customer_street, customer_city)					
	loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount)					
	borrower (<u>customer_name</u> , <u>loan_number</u>)					
	account (<u>account_number</u> , branch_name, balance)					
	depositor (<u>customer_name</u> , <u>account_number</u>)					
	Figure 5C					
	Select 1.branch_name					
	irom <i>branch</i> 1, <i>branch</i> S					
	where I assest > 5. assests and 5. branch_city = Brooklyn					
	Develop an efficient relational algebra expression that is equivalent to this query.					
	Justify your choice.					